

**FACULTY OF LAW**

LL.B. (3 YDC) III – Year VI – Semester Examination, May / June 2017

Subject : LAW

Paper : III (b)

Human Rights Law

Time : 3 hours

Max. Marks : 80

**PART – A (5 x 6 = 30 Marks)****Answer any Five of the following :**

- 1 Domestic Jurisdiction
- 2 Human Rights Council
- 3 The UN High Commissioner for Human Rights
- 4 The legal status of the UDHR, 1948
- 5 Definition of Genocide
- 6 Elimination of racial discrimination
- 7 National Human Rights Commission
- 8 Paris Principles

**PART – B (2 x 15 = 30 Marks)****Answer any Two of the following :**

- 9 How does the International Convention protect the 'Vulnerable Group'?
- 10 Examine the concept of equality under various International Conventions.
- 11 Define the concept of 'Refugees' and emphasize on the relevant principles of their protection.
- 12 The 'Public Interest Litigation' (PIL) plays a dynamic role in re-assuring Human Rights of people of India – Examine.

**PART – C (2 x 10 = 20 Marks)****Answer any Two of the following :**

- 13 'X' was charged for the crime of cheating. But 'X' is too poor to engage a lawyer to defend his case. Whether 'X' has any means to defend his case. Decide
- 14 In a case involving serious Human Rights violations, 'M' a public spirited citizen, argues before the Indian courts to also to interpret the principles of International Human Rights Law, as to extend the remedies available. Discuss the merits of the case, in the context of statutory definition of the term, 'Human Rights' in India.
- 15 A Buddhist Monk who faced hardships in Tibet, fled to India seeking refugee status. Whether India is legally entitled to grant refugee status to a Tibetan Buddhist Monk? What is the law and legal principle governing this situation?
- 16 Sebastian is a terrorist who killed 300 people through bomb explosion in Indiana. The escaped to Corporica, an European Union. There existed no bilateral treaty between Indiana and Corporica with respect to extradition. Indiana claims extradition of Sebastian from of Sebastian from corporica. Corporica denies the extradition on human rights grounds that the state of Indiana retains capital punishment – Decide.